

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL **BOARD OF REVIEW**

Earl Ray Tomblin Governor

203 East Third Avenue Williamson, WV 25661

Karen L. Bowling **Cabinet Secretary**

May 6, 2016



RE: v. WV DHHR ACTION NO.: 16-BOR-1483

Dear Ms.

Enclosed is a copy of the decision resulting from the hearing held in the above-referenced matter.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

You will find attached an explanation of possible actions you may take if you disagree with the decision reached in this matter.

Sincerely,

Stephen M. Baisden State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

Encl: Defendant's Recourse to Hearing Decision

Form IG-BR-29

cc: Cassandra Burns, Criminal Investigator

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

Defendant,

v. Action Number: 16-BOR-1483

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES,

Movant.

DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER

INTRODUCTION

This is the decision of the State Hearing Officer resulting from an Administrative Disqualification Hearing for , requested by the Movant on March 10, 2016. This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources' Common Chapters Manual and Federal Regulations at 7 CFR Section 273.16. The hearing was convened on May 3, 2016.

The matter before the Hearing Officer arises from a request by the Department for a determination as to whether the Defendant has committed an Intentional Program Violation and thus should be disqualified from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) for twelve months.

At the hearing, the Department appeared by Cassandra Burns, Criminal Investigator. The Defendant did not appear. All participants were sworn and the following documents were admitted into evidence.

Movant's Exhibits:

- M-1 Code of Federal Regulations §273.16
- M-2 United States Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Services (USDA-FNS) investigation materials and sanction determination for Dixie, WV, dated February 6, 2015
- M-3 Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) Card Transaction History for Defendant, listing purchases made from February 1, 2014 through August 16, 2015
- M-4 DFA-RR-1, Rights and Responsibilities form, signed and dated by Defendant on September 24, 2013
- M-5 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual (WV IMM) Chapter 20, §20.2
- M-6 Copy of IG-IFM-ADH-waiver, Waiver of Administrative Disqualification Hearing form, and IG-IFM-ADH-Ltr, Notice of Intent to Disqualify form, sent to Defendant on February 26, 2016

16-BOR-1483 Page | 1

Defendant's Exhibits

None

After a review of the record, including testimony, exhibits, and stipulations admitted into evidence, and after assessing the credibility of all witnesses and weighing the evidence in consideration of the same, the Hearing Officer sets forth the following Findings of Fact.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1) The Department's representative contended the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation and should be disqualified from SNAP for one year because she trafficked her SNAP benefits.
- 2) The US Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Services (USDA-FNS), which has oversight of SNAP, notified the WV DHHR that the USDA-FNS had disqualified WV, from being a SNAP vendor because the business had trafficked in SNAP benefits (Exhibit M-2).
- is a small convenience store, approximately 1600 square feet in size, which sells ice, beer and soda, and a few incidental-need items like bread and milk.

 does not sell items such as fresh meats, which would correspond to legitimate large-amount purchases.
- 4) On November 10, 2014, the Defendant spent \$52.62 at ______, and on November 11, 2014, she spent \$64.29 at the same place (Exhibit M-3). The Department's representative identified these as SNAP trafficking activities, either in receiving cash from the purchase instead of food items or paying off previous "store credit" purchases.
- 5) The Defendant did not appear at the hearing to refute the SNAP trafficking allegations.

APPLICABLE POLICY

WV IMM Chapter 20.2.C.2 provides that once an IPV (Intentional Program Violation) is established, a disqualification penalty is imposed on the AG members who committed the IPV. The penalties are as follows: First Offense – one year disqualification; Second Offense – two years disqualification; Third Offense – permanent disqualification.

Pursuant to the Code of Federal Regulations 7 CFR Section 273.16, an Intentional Program Violation shall consist of a SNAP recipient having intentionally: 1. Made a false or misleading statement, or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts; or 2. Committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any State statute for the purpose of using, presenting, transferring, acquiring, receiving, possessing or trafficking of coupons, authorization cards or reusable documents used as part of an automated benefit delivery system access device.

16-BOR-1483

DISCUSSION

| DISCOSSION |
|---|
| is a small rural convenience store that does not sell fresh meats or large varieties of canned foods. The store does sell fresh fruits and vegetables, but the photographs included in the documents from the USDA-INS (Exhibit M-2) show only a limited amount of them displayed for sale. The Department's representative testified that it would be hard to make a \$50 to \$60 dollar food purchase at this location, and it would be an extremely unwise use of someone's SNAP benefits even if he or she could do so. She added that the prices charged for their food items were far higher than larger supermarkets which were no more than a fifteen-mile drive from this establishment. |
| The Defendant did not appear at the hearing to refute the allegation that she trafficked in SNAP benefits at Therefore, in the absence of any evidence or testimony to the contrary, the Department proved by clear and convincing evidence that the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) by trafficking in SNAP benefits. |
| CONCLUSION OF LAW |
| 1) Pursuant to the Code of Federal Regulations 7 CFR §273.16, the Department established that the Defendant trafficked in SNAP benefits at a small convenience store in rural County, WV, which had been identified by the USDA-FNS as a retail business that had engaged in this activity. The Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation by doing this. |
| 2) The Department must impose a disqualification penalty. The disqualification penalty for a first offense is one year. |
| <u>DECISION</u> |
| It is the ruling of the Hearing Officer that the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation. She will be disqualified from participating in SNAP for one year, beginning June 1, 2016. |
| ENTERED this 6 th Day of May 2016. |
| Stephen M. Baisden State Hearing Officer |

16-BOR-1483 Page | 3